F. JAMES SENSENBRENNER, JR., Wisconsin CHAIRMAN

HENRY J. HYDE, Illinais
HOWARD COSILE. North Carolina
LAMAR S. SMITH. Toxus
ELTON GALLEGLY, California
BOB GOODLATTE, Virginia
STEVE CHARLOT, Olvo
DANIEL E. LUNGREN, California
WILLIAM L. JENKINS, Tonneasee
CHRIS CANNON, Unin
SPENCER BACHUS, Alabama
BOB INGLIS, South Carolina
JOHN N. HOSTETTLER, Indiania
MARK GREEN, Wisconsin
RIC KELLER, Florida
JEFF FLAKE, Altsona
MIKE PENCE, Indiania
J. RANDY FORBES, Virginia
STEVE KING, Jiosea
J. RANDY FORBES, Virginia
STEVE KING, Jiosea
TROM FERNEY, Florida
TRENT FRANS, Arizona
TRENT FRANS, Arizona
TRENT FRANS, Arizona
TRENT FRANS, Arizona
LOUE GORMERT, Toxas

ONE HUNDRED NINTH CONGRESS

## Congress of the United States House of Representatives

COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY

2138 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING

WASHINGTON, DC 20515-6216

(202) 225–3951 http://www.house.gov/judiciary

June 30, 2006

JOHN CONYERS, JR., Michigan RANKING MINORITY MEMBER

HOWARD L. BERMAN, California.
RICK BOUCHER, Virginia
JERROLD NABLER, New York
ROBERT C. SCOTT, Virginia
MELVIN L. WATT, North Carolina
ZOE LOFGREN, California
SHEILA JACKSON LEE, Texus
MAXINE WATERS, California
MARTIN T, MEEHAN, Massachusetts
WILLIAM D, DELAHUNT, Massachusetts
WILLIAM D, DELAHUNT, Massachusetts
ROBERT WEXLER, Florida
ANTHONY D, WEINER, New York
ADAM B, SCHIFF, California
LINDA T, SANCHEZ, California
CHRIS VAN HOLLER, Moryland
DEBBIE WASSERMAN SCHULTZ, Florida

The Honorable F. James Sensenbrenner, Jr. Chairman
Committee on the Judiciary
U.S. House of Representatives
2138 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

## Dear Chairman Sensenbrenner:

The United States Supreme Court has ruled 5-3 in *Hamdan v. Rumsfeld* that the President did not have the authority to set up the "military commissions" in Guantanamo and that they are invalid. The Court found that the military commissions were not expressly authorized by any congressional act and that "the Executive is bound to comply with the Rule of Law that prevails in this jurisdiction."

For several years now, we have requested hearings on Guantanamo and legislation that would establish standards of due process for detainees. The Supreme Court has now called on Congress to act in this area.

In the last several years, former Bush Administration Justice Department officials have also suggested the need to reevaluate the Administration's system of detaining individuals without oversight. Viet Dinh, former Assistant Attorney General for the Office of Legal Policy, called "unsustainable" the government's insistence on detentions without meaningful oversight or due process. Michael Chertoff, former head of the Justice Department's Criminal Division, wrote a few years ago that policymakers "may need to think more systematically and universally about the issue of combatants" and "debate a long-term and sustainable architecture for the process of determining when, why, and for how long someone may be detained...and what judicial review should be available."

The Supreme Court decision in *Hamdan* specifically found that the existing military commissions in Guantanamo do not meet the requirements of the Uniform Code of Military

Honorable F. James Sensenbrenner Page Two June 30, 2006

Justice (UCMJ). Legislation establishing standards of due process for the tribunals in Guantanamo based on the UCMJ was referred to the Judiciary Committee over a year ago and is still pending action.

Justice Breyer, in a concurring opinion, pointed out that "Congress has not issued the Executive a 'blank check'" and that "nothing prevents the President from returning to Congress to seek the authority he believes necessary." We believe that we must heed this invitation for congressional action and act to establish clear standards and procedures to deal with terrorist detainees. Accordingly, we respectfully request that you schedule a hearing on these issues and legislative proposals as soon as possible.

Honorable F. James Sensenbrenner Page Three June 30, 2006

Sincerely, Bell Delahunt